### **gRIBI gRPC Service for RIB Injection** 10-MAY-2023 Massimo Magnani (massimo@arista.com)

### **Overview**

- gRIBI is a gRPC service to inject entries into the RIB
- We will look at
  - Existing approaches for route injection, their challenges and how gRIBI helps overcome them
  - Details about the gRIBI service
  - walk thru simple weighted route injection scenario



## **Motivation**

- Existing approaches\* for route injection include
  - Direct programming of forwarding plane entries (P4Runtime, OpenFlow)
  - Use existing routing protocols to inject entries
    - e.g., BGP SR-TE Policy, BGP-LU for egress peer engineering.
  - Device APIs using a vendor SDK
- \* something, something ... I2RS

## Motivation (contd.)

- Direct programming assumes
  - Controller(s) have full view of device's forwarding table.
  - Controller(s) can modify all hardware tables
  - Requires controller to know about resolving routes (usually IGP) and reacting to changes
  - adds complexity to overall system



## Motivation (contd.)


- Using a routing protocol involves:
  - Force fitting data model and routes to constraints of protocol (for example BGP NLRI uniqueness and affecting BGP best path Algo in the context of BGP SR-TE Policy)
  - No notion of transactional semantics
  - No acknowledgments of programming
- Using a device/vendor-specific API isn't open and portable

## gRIBI

- gRPC service to inject (and query) routing table entries into a network device's RIB from an external entity (say a controller)
- From device's PoV, control plane service where injected entries are just another source to device's RIB(s)



### gRIBI as a control plane service



### gRIBI Data model

#### Table entries data model is the existing OpenConfig Abstract Forwarding Table (AFT) converted to protobuf

▼ I afts	container
▼ Ipv4-unicast	container
vipv4-entry[prefix]	list
<i>₽</i> prefix	leaf
state	container
<i>prefix</i>	leaf
Counters counters	container
Pentry-metadata	leaf
Porigin-protocol	leaf
decapsulate-header	leaf
<pre>poc-aftni:next-hop-group</pre>	leaf
<pre>poc-aftni:next-hop-group-network-instance</pre>	leaf
<pre>poc-aftni:origin-network-instance</pre>	leaf

#### Semantics for programming operation

- Every programming operation request from the external entity has an (unique) "id"
  - Every device reply contains the request "id" to allow the external entity to tie back to a specific operation
- Acknowledgement from the device can separately indicate the status of the programming in the device's software RIB and hardware FIB
  - enables the controller to do something intelligent based on the response from the device



## **Other features**

- Includes support for redundant clients
  - i.e., active/standby and active/active
- Persistence of programmed entries
  - Entries programed by client persist in RIB and FIB on client disconnect and gRIBI daemon restart
- Leverages support for gRPC transport security (mTLS/TLS/SPIFFE-ID) to provide secure connections from external entity to device




#### **RPCs**

- Modify
  - Inject entries, client parameters.
- Get
  - Retrieve entries with RIB/FIB installation state
- Flush
  - OOB delete all entries




## **Example Applications**

- Inject route entries into a VRF for scrubbing traffic for DDoS mitigation
  - gRIBI injected entry is another route with its own type and preference
  - Next hops are recursively resolved in the RIB like for any other route from a routing protocol
- Injecting a Labeled FIB entry that points to a WECMP set of label stacks akin to BSID steering in SR Policy
- Variations on these themes for selective tunnelbased traffic engineering



### Example



e2


### Example

network-instance

dynamically

programmed

entries for 1.1.1.1/32



## References

- gRIBI Github repository
  - <u>Motivation</u> document
  - <u>Specification</u>
  - Protobuf definitions
- gRIBIGo Reference implementation



## Conclusions

- gRIBI provides a new and open mechanism for programming network device RIB state
- Supports a range of forwarding paradigms
  - IP tunnels, surgical routing, VRF population, etc.
  - not constrained to classic traffic engineering technologies (RSVP-TE)
- multiple implementations do exist
- reaching a point where operators can start to utilize modern tools and software engineering techniques to interact with the RIB and customize forwarding behaviors



# Thank you

